

New Medicine Assessment

Atectura Breezhaler (indacaterol (as acetate) and mometasone furoate) as a maintenance treatment of asthma in adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, not adequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids and inhaled short acting beta₂ - agonists.

Recommendation: GREEN

Atectura Breezhaler offers a once daily, fixed dose regimen which fits within the LSCMMG 'Asthma Treatment Guideline for Adults (aged 17 and over)'.

Atectura Breezhaler is available in three different ICS doses providing the flexibility to adjust the dose to the individual patients needs.

Summary of supporting evidence:

QUARTZ Study Details¹

- A 12-week treatment, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, parallel-group study in 802 asthma patients aged ≥12 and ≤75 years with a documented diagnosis of asthma for a period of ≥3 months prior to screening, who were taking low-dose ICS (with or without controller, e.g. LABA) for at least 1 month prior to screening visit.
- Primary endpoint was met: Atectura Breezhaler low-dose significantly improved trough FEV1 compared to MF low-dose after 12 weeks of treatment – mean difference 182mL (95% CI: 148, 217; p<0.001).
- Key secondary endpoint, superiority in ACQ-7 score (low-dose Atectura Breezhaler vs low-dose MF) after 12 weeks, was met with Atectura Breezhaler low-dose demonstrating statistically significant improvements in asthma control compared with MF low-dose, as measured by ACQ-7 after 12 weeks of treatment – mean treatment difference: -0.218 (95% CI: -0.293, -0.143; p<0.001)

PALLADIUM Study Details²

- A 52-week randomised study in 2,216 asthma patients ≥12 years and ≤75 years, inadequately controlled on medium- or high-dose ICS and/or low-dose LABA/ICS.
- Primary endpoint was met: Atectura Breezhaler significantly improved trough FEV1 by +211 mL (95% CI: 167, 255) and +132 mL (95% CI: 88, 176) for medium- and high-doses respectively at Week 26 vs MF, p<0.001.
- Key secondary endpoint, superiority in ACQ-7 score (combined doses of Atectura Breezhaler vs MF) at Week 26, was met with Atectura Breezhaler combined doses significantly improving ACQ-7 vs MF combined doses (treatment difference was -0.209 [95% CI: -0.270, -0.149]; p<0.001).

Details of Review

Name of medicine (generic & brand name):

Atectura Breezhaler (indacaterol (as acetate) and mometasone furoate)

Strength(s) and form(s):

125 micrograms/62.5 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (low dose steroid)

125 micrograms/127.5 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (medium dose steroid)

125 micrograms/260 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (high dose steroid)

Dose and administration:³

Adults and adolescents aged 12 years and over:

The recommended dose is one capsule to be inhaled once daily.

Patients should be given the strength containing the appropriate mometasone furoate dosage for the severity of their disease and should be regularly reassessed by a healthcare professional.

The maximum recommended dose is 125 mcg/260 mcg once daily.

Treatment should be administered at the same time of the day each day. It can be administered irrespective of the time of the day. If a dose is missed, it should be taken as soon as possible. Patients should be instructed not to take more than one dose in a day.

NB. For inhalation use only. The capsules must not be swallowed.

The capsules must be administered only using the inhaler provided with each new prescription.

BNF therapeutic class / mode of action

Indacaterol is a long acting $beta_2$ - agonist (LABA) and mometasone is an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS)

Licensed indication(s):

Atectura Breezhaler is indicated as a maintenance treatment of asthma in adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older not adequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids and inhaled short acting beta₂ - agonists.

Proposed use (if different from, or in addition to, licensed indication above):

Licensed indication

Course and cost:

Ongoing maintenance therapy.

125 micrograms/62.5 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (low dose steroid)

NHS list price 30 capsules = \pounds 17.49

125 micrograms/127.5 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (medium dose steroid)

NHS list price 30 capsules = £21.50

125 micrograms/260 micrograms inhalation powder, hard capsules (high dose steroid)

NHS list price 30 capsules = £27.97

Current standard of care/comparator therapies: (NHS list price Feb 2021 DT)⁴ Symbicort 100/6, 200/6, 400/12 used twice daily NHS list price 100/6, 200/6 and 400/12 =£28.00 Fostair Nexthaler 100/6, 200/6 used twice daily NHS list price 100/6 and 200/6 = £29.32 Fostair 100/6, 200/6 used twice daily NHS list price 100/6 and 200/6 = £29.32 Flutiform 50, 125, 250 used twice daily NHS list price 50 =£14.40, 125 = £28.00 and 250 = £45.56 Relvar Ellipta 92/22, 184/22 used once daily NHS list price 92/22 = £22.00 and 184/22 = £29.50

Relevant NICE guidance:

Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management. NICE guideline [NG80] Published date: 29 November 2017 Last updated: 12 February 2020.⁵

NICE have indicated that they will not undertake a technology appraisal of Atectura.

In Scotland, a submission will be made as part of an abbreviated process in February 2021.

Background and context

Atectura Breezhaler has now been licensed for the maintenance treatment of asthma in adults and adolescents 12 years of age and older, not adequately controlled with inhaled corticosteroids and inhaled short acting beta2 - agonists. Atectura offers a once daily dosing with three different ICS doses providing the flexibility to adjust treatment to the patients needs, whilst using the same inhalation device. This fits with the recommendations contained within the LSCMMG 'Asthma Treatment Guideline for Adults (aged 17 and over)'.

Summary of evidence

Summary of efficacy data in proposed use:

Two phase III randomised, double-blind studies of different durations evaluated the safety and efficacy of Atectura Breezhaler (indacaterol/mometasone) in adult and adolescent patients with persistent asthma.

PALLADIUM study

The PALLADIUM study was a 52-week pivotal study evaluating indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/127.5 mcg once daily (N=439) and 125 mcg/260 mcg once daily (N=445) compared to mometasone furoate 400 mcg once daily (N=444) and 800 mcg per day (given as 400 mcg twice daily) (N=442), respectively.^{2,6} A third active control arm included subjects treated with salmeterol/fluticasone propionate 50 mcg/500 mcg twice daily (N=446). All subjects were required to have symptomatic asthma (ACQ-7 score \geq 1.5) and were on asthma maintenance therapy using an inhaled synthetic corticosteroid (ICS) with or without LABA for at least 3 months prior to study entry. At screening, 31% of patients had history of exacerbation in the previous year. At study entry, the most common asthma medications reported were medium dose of ICS (20%), high dose of ICS (7%) or low dose of ICS in combination with a LABA (69%).

Figure 1: PALLADIUM study design



Overall, participants had a mean (SD) age of 48 (14.78) years with 107 (5%) of 2216 being adolescent (aged 12–17 years) patients.

The primary objective of the study was to demonstrate superiority of either indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/127.5 mcg once daily over mometasone furoate 400 mcg once daily or indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/260 mcg once daily over mometasone furoate 400 mcg twice daily in terms of trough FEV₁ at week 26.

At week 26, indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/127.5 mcg and 125 mcg/260 mcg once daily both demonstrated statistically significant improvements in trough FEV₁ and Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ-7) score compared to mometasone furoate 400 mcg once or twice daily, respectively (see Table 1). High-dose indacaterol/mometasone was non-inferior to high-dose FLU–SAL in improving trough FEV₁ from baseline at week 26. Findings at week 52 were consistent with week 26.

Indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/127.5 mcg and 125 mcg/260 mcg once daily both demonstrated a clinically meaningful reduction in the annual rate of moderate or severe exacerbations (secondary endpoint), compared to mometasone furoate 400 mcg once and twice daily (see Table 1).

Table 1 Results of primary and secondary endpoints in PALLADIUM study at weeks 26and 52

Endpoint	Time point/ Duration	vs mom	nometasone ¹ etasone ²	Indacaterol/mometasone ¹ vs SAL/FP ³
		Medium dose	High dose vs	High dose vs
		VS	high dose	high dose
		medium dose		
Lung function				
Trough FEV₁ ⁴				
	Week 26	211 ml	132 ml	36 ml
Treatment	(primary	<0.001	<0.001	0.101
difference	endpoint)	(167, 255)	(88, 176)	(-7, 80)
P value	Week 52	209 ml	136 ml	48 ml
(95% CI)		<0.001	<0.001	0.040
		(163, 255)	(90, 183)	(2, 94)
	eak expiratory flow	<u>(PEF)*</u>	T	<u> </u>
Treatment	Week 52	30.2 l/min	28.7 l/min	13.8 l/min
difference		(24.2, 36.3)	(22.7, 34.8)	(7.7, 19.8)
(95% CI)				
	eak expiratory flow			
Treatment	Week 52	29.1 l/min	23.7 l/min	9.1 l/min
difference		(23.3, 34.8)	(18.0, 29.5)	(3.3, 14.9)
(95% CI)				
Symptoms				
ACQ-7	-	•	T	1
	Week 26	-0.248	-0.171	-0.054
Treatment	(key	<0.001	<0.001	0.214
difference	secondary	(-0.334, -0.162)	(-0.257, -0.086)	(-0.140, 0.031)
P value	endpoint)			
(95% CI)	Week 52	-0.266	-0.141	0.010
		(-0.354, -0.177)	(-0.229, -0.053)	(-0.078, 0.098)
		itients achieving m	inimal clinical imp	ortant difference (MCID)
from baseline with				
Percentage	Week 26	76% vs 67%	76% vs 72%	76% vs 76%
Odds ratio	Week 26	1.73	1.31	1.06
(95% CI)			(0.05 1.91)	
		(1.26, 2.37)	(0.95, 1.81)	(0.76, 1.46)
Percentage	Week 52	82% vs 69%	78% vs 74%	78% vs 77%
Percentage Odds ratio	Week 52 Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24	78% vs 74% 1.34	78% vs 77% 1.05
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI)	Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17)	78% vs 74%	78% vs 77%
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res	Week 52 scue medication fr	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days*	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment	Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference	Week 52 scue medication fr	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days*	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI)	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6)	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 nys with no sympto	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ree days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) mms*	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) oms* 9.1	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 nys with no sympto	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ree days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) mms*	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI)	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 ys with no sympto Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) oms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6)	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 ys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) oms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6)	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 wys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace pre exacerbations	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ree days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) 0ms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6) 0ms**	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 ys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) oms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6)	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 ys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace are exacerbations Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ree days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) 0ms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6) 0ms**	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve AR RR	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 wys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace pre exacerbations	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) ms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6) rbations** 0.27 vs 0.56 0.47	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2) 0.25 vs 0.39 0.65	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9) 0.25 vs 0.27 0.93
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve AR RR (95% CI)	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 wys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace pre exacerbations Week 52 Week 52 Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) oms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6) orbations** 0.27 vs 0.56	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2) 0.25 vs 0.39	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9) 0.25 vs 0.27
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve AR RR (95% CI) Severe exacerba	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 ws with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace are exacerbations Week 52 Week 52 Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ree days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2) 0.25 vs 0.39 0.65 (0.48, 0.89)	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9) 0.25 vs 0.27 0.93 (0.67, 1.29)
Percentage Odds ratio (95% CI) Percentage of res Treatment difference (95% CI) Percentage of da Treatment difference (95% CI) Annualised rate Moderate or seve AR RR (95% CI)	Week 52 scue medication fr Week 52 wys with no sympto Week 52 of asthma exace pre exacerbations Week 52 Week 52 Week 52	82% vs 69% 2.24 (1.58, 3.17) ee days* 8.6 (4.7, 12.6) ms* 9.1 (4.6, 13.6) rbations** 0.27 vs 0.56 0.47	78% vs 74% 1.34 (0.96, 1.87) 9.6 (5.7, 13.6) 5.8 (1.3, 10.2) 0.25 vs 0.39 0.65	78% vs 77% 1.05 (0.75, 1.49) 4.3 (0.3, 8.3) 3.4 (-1.1, 7.9) 0.25 vs 0.27 0.93

- * Mean value for the treatment duration
- ** RR <1.00 favours indacaterol/mometasone furoate.
- ¹ Indacaterol/mometasone medium dose: 125 mcg/127.5 mcg od; high dose: 125 mcg/260 mcg od.
- ² MF: mometasone furoate medium dose: 400 mcg od; high dose: 400 mcg bid (content doses).
 - Mometasone furoate 127.5 mcg od and 260 mcg od in indacaterol/mometasone are comparable to mometasone furoate 400 mcg od and 800 mcg per day (given as 400 mcg bid).
- ³ SAL/FP: salmeterol/fluticasone propionate high dose: 50 mcg/500 mcg bid (content dose).
- ⁴ Trough FEV₁: the mean of the two FEV₁ values measured at 23 hours 15 min and 23 hours 45 min after the evening dose.

Primary endpoint (trough FEV₁ at week 26) and key secondary endpoint (ACQ-7 score at week 26) were part of confirmatory testing strategy and thus controlled for multiplicity. All other endpoints were not part of confirmatory testing strategy.

RR = rate ratio, AR = annualised rate

od = once daily, bid = twice daily

QUARTZ study

The QUARTZ study was a 12-week study evaluating Atectura Breezhaler (indacaterol/mometasone) 125 mcg/62.5 mcg once daily (N=398) compared to mometasone furoate 200 mcg once daily (N=404).^{1,6} The mean age was 45.6 years with 13.5% of randomised patients aged ≥65 years. This study also included 64 (8.0%) adolescent patients (aged ≥12 to <18 years). All subjects were required to be symptomatic and on asthma maintenance therapy using a low-dose ICS (with or without LABA) for at least 1 month prior to study entry. At study entry, the most common asthma medications reported were low-dose ICS (43%) and LABA/low-dose ICS (56%). The primary endpoint of the study was to demonstrate superiority of indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/62.5 mcg once daily over mometasone furoate 200 mcg once daily in terms of trough FEV₁ at week 12.

Indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/62.5 mcg once daily demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in baseline trough FEV₁ at week 12 and Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ-7) score compared to mometasone furoate 200 mcg once daily.

Results for the most clinically relevant endpoints are described in Table 2.

Table 2 Results of primary and secondary endpoints in QUARTZ study at week 12

Endpoints	Indacaterol/mometasone low dose* vs mometasone low dose**
Lung function	
Trough FEV ₁ (primary endpoint)***	
Treatment difference	182 ml
P value	<0.001
(95% CI)	(148, 217)
Mean morning peak expiratory flow (PEF)	
Treatment difference	27.2 l/min
(95% CI)	(22.1, 32.4)
Evening peak expiratory flow (PEF)	
Treatment difference	26.1 l/min
(95% CI)	(21.0, 31.2)
Symptoms	
ACQ-7 (key secondary endpoint)	

Treatment difference	-0.218		
P value	<0.001		
(95% CI)	(-0.293, -0.143)		
Percentage of patients achieving MCID from baseli			
Percentage	75% vs 65%		
Odds ratio	1.69		
(95% CI)	(1.23, 2.33)		
Percentage of rescue medication free days			
Treatment difference	8.1		
(95% CI)	(4.3, 11.8)		
Percentage of days with no symptoms			
Treatment difference	2.7		
(95% CI) * Indacaterol/mometasone low dose: 125/62.5	(-1.0, 6.4)		
 *** Trough FEV₁: the mean of the two FEV₁ value 23 hours 45 min after the evening dose. od = once daily, bid = twice daily 	es measured at 23 hours 15 min and		
Paediatric population			
In the PALLADIUM study, which included 106 adoles in trough FEV ₁ at week 26 were 0.173 litres (95% CI indacaterol/mometasone 125 mcg/260 mcg once da high doses) and 0.397 litres (95% CI: 0.195, 0.599) i 125 mcg/127.5 mcg once daily vs mometasone furoa doses).	: -0.021, 0.368) for ily vs mometasone furoate 800 mcg (i.e. for indacaterol/mometasone		
In the QUARTZ study, which included 63 adolescent means treatment difference for trough FEV_1 at day 8 0.130, 0.371).			

For the adolescent subgroups, improvements in lung function, symptoms and exacerbation reductions were consistent with the overall population.

Other relevant data:

Metered dose inhalers (pMDIs) require two or four doses daily and have estimated carbon footprints of 500g CO_2 eq / dose.⁷

The Atectura Breezhaler once daily device delivers 13g CO₂ eq / dose.⁸

The Breezhaler device is HFA / CFC free.

Summary of safety data:

The most common adverse reactions over 52 weeks were asthma (exacerbation) (26.9%), nasopharyngitis (12.9%), upper respiratory tract infection (5.9%) and headache (5.8%).³

System organ class	Adverse reactions	Frequency category
Infections and infestations	Nasopharyngitis	Very common

	Upper respiratory tract infection	Common
	Candidiasis*1	Uncommon
Immune overem disordere	Hypersensitivity*2	Common
Immune system disorders	Angioedema*3	Uncommon
Metabolism and nutrition disorders	Hyperglycaemia*4	Uncommon
Nervous system disorders	Headache*5	Common
Eye disorders	Vision blurred	Uncommon
Eye disorders	Cataract*6	Uncommon
Cardiac disorders	Tachycardia*7	Uncommon
Beenirotory, therease and mediacting	Asthma (exacerbation)	Very common
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	Oropharyngeal pain*8	Common
uisorders	Dysphonia	Common
Skip and subautanaaya tiasya diaardara	Rash* ⁹	Uncommon
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	Pruritus ^{*10}	Uncommon
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue	Musculoskeletal pain*11	Common
disorders	Muscle spasms	Uncommon
 very common (≥1/10); common (≥1/100 to <1/10); to <1/1,000); very rare (<1/10,000). * Indicates grouping of preferred terms (PTs): 1 Oral candidiasis, oropharyngeal candidiasis. 2 Drug eruption, drug hypersensitivity, hypersensituricaria. 3 Allergic oedema, angioedema, periorbital swelling 4 Blood glucose increased, hyperglycaemia. 5 Headache, tension headache. 6 Cataract, cataract cortical. 7 Heart rate increased, tachycardia, sinus tachycation of the second se	itivity, rash, rash erythematous, ng, swelling of eyelid. ardia, supraventricular tachycard geal pain, throat irritation, odyno uritic.	rash pruritic, dia.
10 Anal pruritus, eye pruritus, nasal pruritus, pruri 11 Back pain, musculoskeletal pain, myaloja, nec		ain.
11 Back pain, musculoskeletal pain, myalgia, nec	<u>k pain, musculoskeletal chest p</u>	ain.

Strengths and limitations of the evidence:

Strengths:

- Both pivotal studies enrolled large number of patients, and were, randomised / double blind
- The PALLADIUM study included one arm of comparator combination product

Limitations:

- The majority of supporting data was derived from combination product versus corticosteroid inhaler.
- The QUARTZ study lasted 12 weeks which did not allow for longer-term safety data to be evaluated. In addition, limited conclusions can be drawn from the exacerbation results due to the short duration of the study
- The combination comparator in PALLADIUM did not use a once daily product (a comparator containing vilanterol e.g. Relvar Ellipta could have provided such a comparator)

Summary of evidence on cost effectiveness:

The NHS list price of Atectura Breezhaler ranges from £17.49 to £27.97 dependent on ICS strength. This is the equivalent to the cost of one months treatment ie 30 capsules, with a dose of one capsule daily.

The other once daily inhaler device Relvar Ellipta, ranges in price from £22.00 to £29.50 dependent on ICS dose, but only offers the option of two ICS strengths whilst Atectura offers three.

Atectura is also slightly less expensive than examples of other twice daily ICS / LABA combination inhalers.

Prescribing and risk management issues:

The inhaler provided with each new prescription should be used. The inhaler in each pack should be disposed of after all capsules in that pack have been used

Innovation, need, equity:

Atectura offers a once daily dosing regimen which also provides flexibility in ICS dosing, enabling the patient to stay on the same inhalation device throughout the treatment pathway, with potentially improved compliance.

References

¹ Kornmann O et al, Efficacy and safety of inhaled once-daily low-dose indacaterol acetate/mometasone furoate in patients with inadequately controlled asthma: Phase III randomised QUARTZ study findings, Respir Med. 2020 Jan;161:105809. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2019.105809 Epub 2019 Nov 14.

² van Zyl-Smit RN et al., Once-daily mometasone plus indacaterol versus mometasone or twicedaily fluticasone plus salmeterol in patients with inadequately controlled asthma (PALLADIUM): a randomised, double-blind, triple-dummy, controlled phase 3 study, Lancet Respir Med. 2020 Oct;8(10):987-999. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30178-8. Epub 2020 Jul 9.

³ SmPC <u>https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/11885</u>

⁴ Drug Tariff February 2021 <u>https://www.drugtariff.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/#/00797108-</u> DC/DC00797101/Home

⁵ Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management NICE guideline [NG80] <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng80</u>

⁶ European Medicines Agency, Assessment report: Atectura Breezhaler, EMA/206547/2020, 02 April 2020 <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/atectura-breezhaler-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf</u>

⁷ NICE news article <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/news/article/nice-encourages-use-of-greener-asthma-inhalers</u>

⁸ Novartis case study <u>https://www.novartis.com/our-company/corporate-</u> responsibility/environmental-sustainability/climate/case-study-breezhaler-carbon-footprint